**Full Name of the Unit and Abbreviation:**

**Reference:**

**Date of the Report:**

**Subsequent Accreditation, if any (reference):**

**Final Self-Evaluation Report**

**Call:**

**María de Maeztu Units of Excellence 2016**

**Guidelines**

This Self-evaluation Report has to be prepared and signed by the Unit Scientific Director*.*

***Maximum length: 25 (A4) pages, including the guidelines of this form, which are not to be removed. Font: Times New Roman, 12 pitches, single line spacing****.*

**PLEASE, DO NOT REMOVE ANY PART OF THIS FORM**

**Brief Summary (max 2,500 characters)**

Please, highlight the most outstanding achievements in the context of the María de Maeztu grant during the period from July 2017 until the completion of the grant. The content of this section might serve for dissemination purposes.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This summary should be the same as the one uploaded to the Monitoring Online Platform (JUSTIWEB).

1. **Activities and Outputs during the whole period (from July 2017 to *select the appropriate date*)**

Please describe the main activities carried out during the period July 2017-June 2021 (or until the completion of the grant) with a special focus on those activities that have contributed to the international scientific leadership of the Unit.

Emphasize the improvement of the unit research capabilities and resources as an international scientific global player during the period in terms of governance aspects; creation of new research lines, if any, and enhancing internal coherence; research outcomes–publications and other research outputs-; human resources, including gender issues; training; scientific infrastructure; internationalisation; knowledge transfer; increasing collaboration and synergies within the unit and/or with other research centres or with business sector and other relevant stakeholders; outreach activities, open science, etc.

**2. Indicators**

Please report on the accomplishment of the monitoring indicators submitted by your Unit in María de Maeztu call 2016 from the beginning of the grant period.

Make specific reference to the objectives and milestones set in the Unit’s Strategic Research Programme and, for each of them, describe the activities undertaken and the achievements. Provide an analysis on the deviations from the initial targets.

Provide, in the table below, the updated figures for the whole period. Include the baseline (as submitted by the Unit to the María de Maeztu call 2016, taking into account changes approved in the midterm review, if any) and the current values for all the “Planning and Monitoring Indicators”. Include, if necessary, any other indicator showing the outcomes that best show the results of the programme.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator | Baseline (\*) | Expected outcome | Outcome (June 2021 or until the completion of the grant) | Means of verification | Further Comments |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

*(\*) As submitted by the Centre to the Severo Ochoa call 2016, taking into account changes approved in the midterm review.*

**3.Summary of expenditures (max. 1/2 page)**

Please, provide a summary of the expenses linked to the grant during the whole period, disaggregated by main concepts of expenditure.

**4. Comparison with other similar units.**

In case it is possible, please compare the Unit against other centres or units worldwide you consider to be the leaders or at least similar to your Unit. The comparison should be based on the updated monitoring indicators of the Unit, which were submitted by your Unit for the María de Maeztu call 2016. If that is not possible, use quantitative and/or qualitative aspects concerning Research Output, Human Resources, Knowledge transfer and Funding that you consider relevant.

This exercise should compare your Unit with other units or centres. Please DO NOT make any reference to wider institutions, i.e. a whole university, etc.

You may add as many figures and tables as needed.

## 5.Internal procedures

## Please elaborate on the implementation of the internal procedures, especially those for the assignation of the funds María de Maeztu.

**6. External evaluations (if any) until *choose an item***

Date of the last external evaluation: dd/mm/yyyy

Period covered: From: mm/yyyy to: mm/yyyy

**Mandatory for small centres:** Please upload to the Monitoring Electronic Platform a copy of the last evaluation by the External Advisory Committee (SAB) of the Unit. **DO NOT INCLUDE ANY OTHER EXTERNAL EVALUATION**.

**7. Scientific and Socio-economic Impact of the grant**

Please, elaborate briefly on the general scientific and socioeconomic impact of the grant.

**8. Conclusions**

Please, make a short remark on the relevance of the accreditation and the grant for your Unit.